

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,132	ANSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Heckenberg	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph Kincart (Applicant's Rep.).

(2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

51-54

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exmr. Heckenberg noted language problems with claims resulting from last amendment and suggested corrections. Mr. Kincart agreed (see Exmr.'s Amendment).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

6-19-6

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)